



8th Annual Robotics Course
aka "Everything Cool in Spine"

Saturday, December 9, 2023

Syllabus

DISTINGUISHED FACULTY

J. Patrick Johnson, M.D.

Course Co-Chair
Co-Medical Director, Spine Center
Vice Chair, Neurosurgery
Cedars-Sinai Medical Center
Los Angeles, California

Doniel Drazin, M.D.

Course Co-Chair
Neurosurgeon
Seattle, Washington

Isador Lieberman, M.D., M.B.A.

Course Co-Chair
Orthopaedic Spine Surgeon
Texas Back Institute
Plano, Texas

Jens R. Chapman, M.D.

Course Co-Chair
Complex Spine Surgeon
Swedish Neuroscience Institute
Seattle, Washington

Ali Anissipour, D.O. (virtual)

Orthopedic Spine Surgeon
WWMG Everett Gateway Center - Orthopedics
Everett, Washington

Chester Donnally, M.D. (pre-recorded)

Orthopedic Spine Surgeon
Texas Spine Consultants
Plano, Texas

Kevin Foley, M.D.

Professor of Neurosurgery, Orthopaedic Surgery, &
Biomedical Engineering
Semmes Murphey Clinic
Germantown, Tennessee

Michael Gallizzi, M.D.

Robotic & Endoscopic Spine Surgeon
The Steadman Clinic
Vail, Colorado

Roger Hartl, M.D. (virtual)

Hanson-MacDonald Professor of Neurological
Surgery and Director of Spinal Surgery
Weill Cornell Medicine Brain and Spine Centers
New York, New York

Terrence Kim, M.D.

Co-Director of Education & Spine Fellowship
Program Assistant Professor Department of
Orthopaedics
Cedars-Sinai Medical Center
Los Angeles, California

Jeffrey Larson, M.D.

Neurosurgeon
Coeur d'Alene Spine and Brain
Coeur d'Alene, Idaho

Andrew Manista, M.D.

Orthopaedic Surgeon
Olympia Orthopaedic Associates
Olympia, Washington

David Polly, M.D.

Professor & Chief of Spine Surgery Department of
Orthopedic Surgery
University of Minnesota Medical School
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Nicholas Theodore, M.D., M.S. (pre-recorded)

Director, Neurosurgical Spine Center &
Professor of Neurosurgery
Johns Hopkins Medicine
Baltimore, Maryland

AGENDA

- 7 a.m. **Registration & Breakfast**
- 7:30 a.m. **Welcome, Introductions, Course Overview**
Doniel Drazin, M.D., Isador Lieberman, M.D., M.B.A., J. Patrick Johnson, M.D. & Jens R. Chapman, M.D.
- 7:35 a.m. **Expanding the Frontiers of Technology-Assisted Surgery**
Roger Hartl, M.D. (virtual)
Objectives:
- Describe examples on the state of robotic-assisted surgery today
 - Outline the expanding frontiers of technology-assisted surgery
 - Present cases that illustrate the expanding frontiers of technology-assisted surgery
- 7:50 a.m. **Q & A**
- 7:55 a.m. **Artificial Intelligence and Pre-Operative Planning**
Isador Lieberman, M.D., M.B.A.
Objectives:
- Elucidate the types of cases that would benefit from preoperative planning
 - Demonstrate a typical case of preoperative planning
 - Outline what is happening in AI
- 8:10 a.m. **Q & A**
- 8:15 a.m. **Lumbo-pelvic Fixation for Deformity with Navigation and/or Robotics**
David Polly, M.D.
Objectives:
- Identify which cases are suitable for SI fusion
 - Describe steps to perform MIS robotic-assisted SI fusion
 - Describe steps to perform Navigation-assisted SI fusion
- 8:30 a.m. **Q & A**
- 8:35 a.m. **Convincing Your Hospital to Invest in Navigation/Robotics/AR/VR**
Terrence Kim, M.D.
Objectives:
- Evaluate the cost of navigation and robotics
 - Illustrate the barriers to implementing assistive technologies in your hospital
 - Define talking points to hospital administrators why technology is essential for advancing patient care and outcomes
- 8:50 a.m. **Q & A**

8:55 a.m. **Live Demonstration Broadcast from BioSkills Lab No. 1**
Navigated Lumbar-Pelvic Fixation

David Polly, M.D.

Objectives:

- Outline the workflow for setting up a SI surgery
- Demonstrate the nuances of performing the surgery
- Illustrate the pearls of performing the surgery

9:25 a.m. **Q & A**

9:30 a.m. **Pearls and Pitfalls of Technology-Assisted Surgeries**

Moderator: Doniel Drazin, M.D. and J. Patrick Johnson, M.D.,

Panel: Isador Lieberman, M.D., M.B.A., Terrence Kim, M.D., David Polly, M.D., & Andrew Manista, M.D.

Objectives:

- Outline pearls for successful robotic-assisted surgeries
- Contrast pitfalls of unsuccessful robotic-assisted surgeries
- Identify and evaluate lessons learned from failures of robotic-assisted surgeries

10:05 a.m. **Q & A**

10:10 a.m. **Break & Exhibits** (*not for CME Credit*)

10:25 a.m. **The Good, The Bad, & The Ugly of Spinal Robotics**

Kevin Foley, M.D. (virtual)

Objectives:

- Update on the current state of spinal robotic technologies
- Describe advantages, limitations, and future needs of spinal robots

10:40 a.m. **Q & A**

10:45 a.m. **Live Demonstration Broadcast from BioSkills Lab No. 2**
Robotic-Assisted Cervical Pedicle Screws

Michael Gallizzi, M.D.

Objectives:

- Demonstrate robotic-assisted cervical fusion
- Demonstrate minimally invasive cervical fusion using robotic assistance
- Employ robotic-assisted surgery in the cervical spine using different techniques

11:15 a.m. **Q & A**

11:20 a.m. **The 2024 Update on Augmented Reality in Spine Surgery**

Chester Donnally, M.D. (pre-recorded)

- Define Augmented Reality
- Explain open vs. percutaneous, is this technology great for both?

- Describe what is on the horizon for augmented reality technology in 2024

11:35 a.m. **Q & A**

11:40 a.m. **Live Demonstration Broadcast from BioSkills Lab No. 3**
Robotic Assisted Thoracolumbar Fusion

Anderew Manista, M.D.

Objectives:

- Demonstrate robotic-assisted thoracolumbar fusion
- Demonstrate minimally invasive thoracolumbar fusion using robotic assistance
- Employ robotic-assisted surgery in the thoracolumbar using different techniques

12:10 p.m. **Q & A**

12:15 p.m. **Lunch Break & Exhibits** (working lunch)

12:30 p.m. **MIS & Robotics: Pearls of My Practice**

Ali Anissipour, M.D. (virtual)

Objectives:

- Navigate learning curve challenges of robotics in spine surgery
- Describe pearls of practice to equip colleagues to minimize complications
- Illuminate the future of spine surgery by exploring the possibilities that emerge from facet decortication

12:45 p.m. **Q & A**

12:50 p.m. **Live Demonstration Broadcast from BioSkills Lab No. 4**
Minimally Invasive Robotic Arthrodesis

Terrence Kim, M.D.

Objectives:

- Exemplify planning and workflow of minimally invasive robotic-assisted arthrodesis
- Demonstrate minimally invasive robotic assisted facet decortication
- Execute bone graft placement using robotic guidance

1:20 p.m. **Q & A**

1:25 p.m. **Current & Emerging Trends in Imaging and Robotics**

Nicholas Theodore, M.D. (pre-recorded)

Objectives:

- Describe the current emerging trends in imaging
- Outline the current indication for utilizing robotics in spine surgery
- Predict emerging trends in the future of spine surgery

1:40 p.m. **Q & A**

1:45 p.m. ***End of CME Accredited Content***

1:45 p.m. **Break & Exhibits**

2:15 p.m. **Introducing Expanded Existence - Building the Surgical Metaverse-AI Enhanced Surgery**
Jeff Larson, M.D.

2:30 p.m. **Q & A**

2:35 p.m. **Open Technology Experience in the BioSkills Lab**

3:15 p.m. **Adjourn**

Acknowledgements

The Planning Committee gratefully acknowledges support for this conference from the following:

Educational Grant

Aliphatic Spine
Globus Medial
Medtronic

Exhibit Support

Brainlab
DePuy Synthes
EXeX
Globus Medical
Medtronic
SeaSpine
Stryker

In-Kind Support

Globus Medical
Medtronic

Course Planning Committee

J. Patrick Johnson, M.D.

Cedars-Sinai Medical Center

Doniel Drazin, M.D.

Seattle, Washington

Isador Lieberman, M.D., M.B.A.

Texas Back Institute

Jens R. Chapman, M.D.

Swedish Neuroscience Institute

Clifford Pierre, M.D.

Swedish Neuroscience Institute

Cory Kepler

Seattle Science Foundation

Course Evaluation

Please take a moment to complete our online evaluation, which will be emailed to you. Your feedback helps to ensure the effectiveness of this CME activity, as well as improve future educational activities. All responses are considered anonymous. <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/Robotics-2023>

If you do not receive the survey via email, please call (206) 732-6500 or email cme@seattle-science-foundation.org.

Accreditation

Seattle Science Foundation is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™

Seattle Science Foundation designates this live activity for a maximum of 5.5 AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Identifying and Resolving Conflicts of Interest

Purpose: The information provided addresses several requirements of the ACCME to help **ensure purpose** in CME activities. Everyone in a position to control the content of a CME activity must disclose all relevant financial relationships with commercial interests to the CME provider. This information must be disclosed to participants prior to the beginning of the activity. Also, CME providers must resolve current conflicts of interest prior to the educational activity.

Definitions: "Financial relationships" are those relationships in which the individual benefits by receiving a salary, royalty, intellectual property rights, consulting fee, honoraria for promotional speakers' bureau, ownership interest (e.g., stocks, stock options or other ownership interest, excluding diversified mutual funds), or other financial benefit. Financial benefits are usually associated with roles such as employment, management position, independent contractor (including contracted research), consulting, speaking and teaching, membership on advisory committees or review panels, board membership, and other activities from which remuneration is received, or expected. ACCME considers relationships of the person involved in the CME activity to include financial relationships of a spouse or partner.

The ACCME defines a **"commercial interest"** as any entity producing, marketing, re-selling or distributing health care goods or services consumed by, or used on, patients. Among the exemptions to this definition are government organizations, non-health care related companies and non-profit organizations that do not advocate for commercial interests.

Circumstances create a **"conflict of interest"** when an individual has an opportunity to affect CME content about products or services of a commercial interest with which he/she has a financial relationship.

ACCME focuses on financial relationships with commercial interests in the 12-month period preceding the time that the individual is being asked to assume a role controlling content of the CME activity. ACCME has not set a minimal dollar amount for relationships to be significant. Inherent in any amount is the incentive to maintain or increase the value of the relationship.

The ACCME defines **"relevant financial relationships"** as financial relationships in any amount occurring within the past 12 months that create a conflict of interest.

CME Activity Planning Committee Members: If a conflict of interest exists, the Planning Committee member must withdraw from the Planning Committee unless the conflict can be resolved. Resolution may be made by one of the following methods: (1) Peer review of CME content will be conducted at another oversight level to assure no commercial bias exists; (2) Change in focus of course so the activity does not include information related to products or services about which the planning committee member has a conflict; (3) Severing relationship(s) between the member and any related commercial interest; (4) Others to be determined by SSF CME Committee.

CME Activity Presenter: When a conflict of interest exists, the Planning Committee must address the conflict by one of the following methods: (1) Review content to be presented by speaker in advance to assure content balance; (2) Change topic so the presentation is not related to products or services where a conflict exists; (3) Select a different presenter without any related commercial interest; (4) Include presentations by other faculty to provide an overall balance to the content of the course; (5) Limit or specify the sources for recommendations that the presenter can use. Each speaker is required to give a balanced, evidence-based presentation based on published research. No conclusions or recommendations without external validation may be made by a speaker with a conflict of interest.

Faculty Disclosure Summary

The following planners and presenters, in the last 24 months, have/had a financial relationship with a commercial interest: (*S = Speaker; P = Planner*)

Ali Anissipour, D.O. (S): Consultant: Medtronic; Royalties: SeaSpine, Stryker

Jens R. Chapman, M.D. (P): Advisor: Globus Medical; Speaker: DePuy Synthes, Medtronic, SeaSpine

Kevin Foley (S): Consultant: Medtronic; Royalties: Medtronic; Advisory Board: Discgenics, DuraStat, RevBio, Tissue Differentiation Intelligence, True Digital Surgery; Stock or Stock Options: Accelus, Companion Spine, Medtronic, NuVasive, SpineWave, Vori Health

Michael Gallizzi, M.D. (S): Consultant: Globus, Arthrex, Cerapedics, Healthtrustpg, Medtronic; Royalties: Globus, Arthrex

Roger Hartl, M.D. (S): Consultant: DePuy Synthes, Brainlab; Royalties: Zimmer Biomet; Advisor: RealSpine

Terrence Kim, M.D. (S): Consultant: Medtronic, Johnson & Johnson

Jeffrey Larson, M.D. (S): Stocks or stock options: Mirus, Spine BioPharma, Exex; Royalties: Stryker, SeaSpine

Isador Lieberman, M.D. (P,S): Consultant: Globus Medical, Bioventus, ECentinal Robotics, SI Bone, Safe Orthopaedics; Royalties: SI Bone, Globus; Shareholder & Founder: AGADA Medical

Andrew Manista, M.D. (S): Consultant: Globus, SI Bone

David Polly, M.D. (S): Consultant: SI Bone, Globus; Speaker: SI Bone; Grant or Research Support: Mizuho OSI, Medtronic, AO Spine

Nicholas Theodore, M.D. (S): Consultant, Speaker, Royalties: Globus Medical

The following planners and/or presenters, in the last 24 months, have/had no financial relationship with a commercial interest. (*S = Speaker; P = Planner*)

Chester Donnally, M.D. (S); **Doniel Drazin, M.D.** (S); **J. Patrick Johnson, M.D.** (P); **Clifford Pierre, M.D.** (P); **Cory Kepler** (P)

All planners and presenters attested that their content suggestions and/or presentation(s) will provide a balanced view of therapeutic options and will be entirely free of promotional bias. All presentations have been reviewed by a planner with no conflicts of interest to ensure that the content is evidence-based and unbiased.