

8th Annual MIS Spine Symposium

Saturday, July 23, 2022

Syllabus

Esteemed Faculty

Christoph Hofstetter, M.D., Ph.D. (virtual) Course Co-Chair

Associate Professor
Fellowship Program Co-Director
Director of Spine Surgery
University of Washington Medical Center
Seattle, Washington

Rod J. Oskouian, Jr., M.D., FAANS Course Co-Chair

Chief of Spine Swedish Neuroscience Institute Seattle, Washington

Paul Park, M.D. Course Co-Chair

Director, Neurosurgery Spine Program Professor, Neurological & Orthopaedic Surgery University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan

Neel Anand, M.D.

Director of Spine Trauma Cedars-Sinai Medical Center Los Angeles, California

Victor Chang, M.D. (virtual)

Neurosurgeon Henry Ford Health System West Bloomfield, Michigan

Osama Kashlan, M.D., M.P.H.

Clinical Assistant Professor Neurological & Orthopaedic Surgery University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan

Martin H. Pham, M.D. (virtual)

Associate Professor University of California San Diego San Diego, California

Shane Burch, M.D. (virtual)

Professor in Residence, Dept. of Orthopaedic Surgery University of California, San Francisco San Francisco, California

Richard A. Hynes, M.D. (pre-recorded)

President & Spine Surgeon The B.A.C.K. Center Melbourne, Florida

Isador Lieberman, M.D., M.B.A. (virtual)

Orthopaedic Spine Surgeon Texas Back Institute Plano, Texas

Luiz Pimenta, M.D., Ph.D. (virtual)

Neurosurgeon Director, Instituto de Patologia da Coluna Sao Paulo, Brazil

Timur Urakov, M.D.

Neurosurgeon University of Miami Miami, Florida

AGENDA

7 a.m. Breakfast, Exhibits & Registration

7:30 a.m. Welcome & Course Overview

Rod Oskouian, M.D., Jr., Paul Park, M.D., & Christoph Hofstetter, M.D., Ph.D.

7:35 a.m. Cutting Edge of LLIF Technology (pre-recorded)

Richard A. Hynes, M.D.

Objectives:

Identify why ergonomics matter

- Describe why simultaneous access to spine is key
- Explain how to do each procedure in the best position

7:50 a.m. **Q & A**

7:55 a.m. Incorporating Robotics into Simple & Complex MIS Cases (virtual)

Martin Pham, M.D.

Objectives:

- Identify MIS cases for which robotics can be used
- Describe robotic workflows to decrease the cognitive and physical load
- Describe the "Robotic Culture"

8:10 a.m. **Q & A**

8:15 a.m. Oblique Lateral Interbody Fusion (OLIF) vs. Lateral

Neel Anand, M.D.

- Objectives:
- Describe reasons why to go oblique
- Explain why to try L5-S1
- Analyze whether you need an access surgeon

8:30 a.m. **Q & A**

8:35 a.m. Why Go Prone vs. Lateral (virtual)

Luiz Pimenta, M.D., Ph.D.

Objectives:

- Summarize the indications associated with the prone transpsoas approach
- Outline the steps of the prone transpsoas approach
- Describe pearls & limitations for the prone transpsoas approach

8:50 a.m. Q & A

8:55 a.m. MIS TLIF (virtual)

Victor Chang, M.D.

Objectives:

- Provide pearls for the MIS TLIF
- Outline the limitations of the MIS TLIF

9:10 a.m. Q & A

9:15 a.m. Live Demonstration Broadcast from BioSkills Lab No. 1

Tubular MIS TLIF Neel Anand. M.D.

Objectives:

- Demonstrate an MIS TLIF Tubular
- Provide pearls for the MIS TLIF Tubular
- Outline the limitations of the MIS TLIF Tubular procedure

9:45 a.m. When to Use Iliac Fixation in the Setting of MIS Posterior Procedures (virtual)

Shane Burch, M.D.

Objectives:

- Compare technique and indications of S2AI vs. Iliac screws
- Describe when to place pelvic screws
- Illustrate why you should fuse the SI joint

10 a.m. **Q & A**

10:05 a.m. Break & Exhibits (not for CME credit)

10:20 a.m. Live Demonstration Broadcast from BioSkills Lab No. 2

Prone Transpsoas

Rod J. Oskouian, Jr., M.D.

Objectives:

- Demonstrate a prone corpectomy
- Summarize the indications associated with a prone corpectomy
- Describe pearls & limitations for a prone corpectomy

10:50 a.m. Preferred Approaches for Adult Deformity: MIS, TLIF, Lateral or ALIF

Neel Anand, M.D.

Objectives:

- Describe complications associated with MIS
- Outline the lateral contraindications
- Compare and contrast ALIF vs. TLIF

11:05 a.m. **Q & A**

11:10 a.m. Single-Stage Lateral Lumbo-Sacral Arthrodesis with Robotic Assistance

Timur Urakov, M.D.

Objectives:

- Describe lessons learned from past robotic assisted cases
- Outline reasons why to use a robot
- Summarize the reasons to use single-stage

11:25 a.m. Q & A

11:30 a.m. Robotic MIS Deformity Surgery (virtual)

Isador Lieberman, M.D., M.B.A.

Objectives:

- Outline the risks of robotic MIS deformity surgery
- Explain the accuracy of using a robot for MIS deformity surgery
- List potential complications of robotic MIS deformity surgery

11:45 a.m. Q & A

11:50 a.m. Live Demonstration Broadcast from BioSkills Lab No. 3

Endoscopic TLIF

Osama Kashlan, M.D.

Objectives:

- Demonstrate the endoscopic TLIF
- Provide pearls for the endoscopic TLIF
- Outline the limitations of the endoscopic TLIF

12:20 p.m. **Lunch Break** (not for CME credit)

12:35 p.m. <u>Live Demonstration Broadcast from BioSkills Lab No. 4</u>

Anterior to Psoas (ATP)

Osama Kashlan, M.D.

Objectives:

- Demonstrate the ATP approach
- Provide pearls for the ATP approach
- Outline the limitations of the ATP approach

1:05 p.m. Case Presentation: Spine Endoscopy

Osama Kashlan, M.D.

Objectives:

- Describe the indications associated with endoscopic spine surgery
- Outline the potential complications of endoscopic spine surgery
- List pearls and limitations for the endoscopic LIF

1:20 p.m. **Q & A**

1:25 p.m. Endoscopic TLIF (virtual)

Christoph Hofstetter, M.D., Ph.D.

Objectives:

- List indications for endoscopic TLIF
- Outline the steps of the endoscopic TLIF
- Describe pearls and preliminary outcomes following endoscopic TLIF

1:40 p.m. **Q & A**

1:45 p.m. <u>Live Demonstration Broadcast from BioSkills Lab No. 5</u>

Robotic-Assisted SI Joint Fusion

Paul Park, M.D.

Objectives:

- Demonstrate MIS SI-joint fusion technique
- Outline the indications and risks of the MIS SI joint fusion technique
- Show the benefits of robotics in SI-joint fusion

2:15 p.m. Enhanced Diagnostics for MIS (virtual)

Christoph Hofstetter, M.D., Ph.D.

Objectives:

- Outline advanced imaging modalities for decision-making in MIS
- Identify imaging pearls for planning MIS procedures
- Describe when to get diagnostic blocks

2:30p.m. **Q&A**

2:35 p.m. Panel Discussion: Too Many MIS LIFs: Which is Best?

All Faculty

Moderator: Neel Anand, M.D.

Objectives:

- Outline the relative advantages of each type of MIS LIF
- Describe sub-optimal condition/indication for each MIS LIF technique

3:05 p.m. Adjourn

Acknowledgements

The Planning Committee gratefully acknowledges support for this conference from the following:

Educational Grant

Alphatec Spine, Inc.
Globus Medical
Joimax
SeaSpine Orthopedics Corp
SpineArt USA
Stryker

Exhibit Support

Alphatec Spine, Inc.
DePuy Synthes
Globus Medical
SpineArt USA
Spineology

In-Kind Support

Alphatec Spine, Inc. DePuy Synthes Globus Medical Joimax SpineArt USA Viseon

Course Planning Committee

Christoph Hofstetter, M.D., Ph.D

University of Washington Medical Center Seattle, Washington

Paul Park, M.D.

University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan

Jonathan Plümer, M.D.

Swedish Neuroscience Institute Seattle, Washington

Rod J. Oskouian, Jr., M.D., FAANS

Swedish Neuroscience Institute Seattle, Washington

Amir Abdul-Jabbar., M.D.

Swedish Neuroscience Institute Seattle, Washington

Cory Kepler

Seattle Science Foundation Seattle, Washington

Linda Sahlin, B.A.

Seattle Science Foundation Seattle, Washington

Course Evaluation

Please take a moment to complete our online evaluation, which will be emailed to you. Your feedback helps to ensure the effectiveness of this CME activity, as well as improve future educational activities. All responses are considered anonymous. https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/MIS 2022

If you do not receive the survey via email, please call (206) 732-6500 or email cme@seattlesciencefoundation.org.

Accreditation

Seattle Science Foundation is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education for physicians.

AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™

Seattle Science Foundation designates this live activity for a maximum of 7 AMA PRA Category 1 $Credits^{TM}$. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Identifying and Mitigating Conflicts of Interest

Purpose: The information provided addresses several requirements of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to help **ensure independence** in CME activities. Everyone in a position to control the content of a CME activity must disclose **ALL** financial relationships with an ineligible company to the CME provider. This information must be disclosed to participants prior to the beginning of the activity. Also, CME providers must mitigate current conflicts of interest prior to the educational activity.

Definitions: "Financial relationships" are those whose relationships in which the individual benefits by receiving a salary, royalty, intellectual property rights, consulting fee, honoraria, ownership interest (e.g., stocks, stock options or other ownership interest, excluding diversified mutual funds), or other financial benefit.

The ACME defines **ineligible companies** as those whose primary business is producing marketing, selling, reselling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients. Among the exemptions to this definition are government organizations non healthcare-related companies and nonprofit organizations that do not advocate for an ineligible company(ies). Circumstances create a **"conflict of interest**" when an individual has an opportunity to affect the CME content about products or services of an ineligible company with which they have a financial relationship.

ACCME focuses on financial relationships with ineligible companies in the 24-month period preceding the time that the individual is being asked to assume a role controlling content of the CME activity. ACCME has not set a minimal dollar amount for relationships to be significant. Inherent in any amount is the incentive to maintain or increase the value of the relationship.

The ACCME defines "**relevant financial relationships**" as financial relationships in any amount occurring within the past 24 months that create a conflict of interest.

CME Activity Planning Committee Members: If a conflict of interest exists, the Planning Committee member must withdraw from the planning committee unless the conflict can be mitigated. Mitigation may be made by one of the following methods: (1) Peer review of CME content will be conducted at another oversight level to assure balance; (2) Change in focus of course so the activity does not include information related to products or services about which the Planning Committee has a conflict; (3) Severing relationship(s) between the member and any related ineligible company; (4) Others to be determined by the SSF CME committee.

CME Activity Planners: When a conflict of interest exists, the planning committee must address the conflict by one of the following methods: (1) Review content to be presented by speaker in advance to ensure content balance; (2) Change topic so the presentation is not related to products or services where a conflict exists; (3) Select a different presenter without any related relationships with an ineligible company; (4) Include presentations by other faculty to provide an overall balance to the content of the course; (5) Limit or specify the sources for recommendations that the presenter can use.

Each speaker is required to give a balanced, evidenced-based presentation based on published research. No conclusions or recommendations without external validation may be made by a speaker with a conflict of interest.

Disclosure Summary

The following planners and/or presenters, in the past 24 months, have/had financial relationship(s) with ineligible company(ies) whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients: (S = Speaker; P = Planner)

Neel Anand, M.D. (S): Consultant: Medtronic, Globus Medical; Stockholder: Globus Medical, Medtronic,

Paradigm Spine, Bonovo, Spinal Balance; Royalties: Medtronic, Globus Medical, El Sevier

Shane Burch, M.D. (S): Consultant: Medtronic, NuVasive; Grant or Research Support: Medtronic,

NuVasive; Stocks or Stock Options: NuVasive; Royalties: Medtronic

Victor Chang, M.D. (S): Consultant: Globus Medical

Christoph Hofstetter, M.D., Ph.D. (P, S): Consultant: Innovasis, Globus, Joimax, J&J; Grant Support Raisbeck Foundation, NIH, Department of Defense, Neilsen Foundation, NIH

Richard A. Hynes, M.D. (S): Consultant, Grant or Research Support, Royalties & Education: Medtronic **Osama Kashlan, M.D.** (S): Consultant: Joimax

Isador Lieberman, M.D. (S): Consultant: Medtronic, SI Bone, Misonix, Globus Medical; Royalties: Globus Medical, SI-Bone; Research Support: Medtronic, Inc, SI-Bone

Rod J. Oskouian, Jr., M.D. (P,S): Consultant: Atec Spine, Blue Ocean Sine, DePuy Synthes, Globus Medical, SeaSpine, Stryker; Royalties: Stryker, Globus Medical

Paul Park, M.D. (P,S): Consultant: Globus Medical, NuVasvie, Accelus, DePuy Synthes; Grant or Research Support: Cerapedics, ISSG, DePuy Synthes, SI Bone; Royalties: Globus Medical **Martin Pham, M.D.** (S): Consultant: Medtronic, Thompson Surgical; Grant or Research Support: Medtronic

Luiz Pimenta, **M.D.**, **Ph.D.** (S): Consultant, Stocks or Stock Options, Royalties, Intellectual Property Rights: Atec Spine

All the relevant financial relationships listed for these individuals have been mitigated.

The following planners and/or presenters, in the past 24 months, have/had no financial relationship with ineligible companies whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients: (S = Speaker; P = Planner)

Amir Abdul-Jabbar, M.D. (P); Cory Kepler (P); Linda Sahlin, B.A. (P); Jonathan Plümer, M.D. (P)

All planners and presenters attested that their content suggestions and/or presentation(s) will provide a balanced view of therapeutic options and will be entirely free of promotional bias. All presentations have been reviewed by a planner with no conflicts of interest to ensure that the content is evidence-based and unbiased.